UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in EN (201701)

UVic Course Experience Survey - Spring 2017

Project Audience 7312
Responses Received 2805
Response Ratio 38%

Creation Date   Thu, May 11, 2017

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

### 1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2827
Mean	4.24
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2821
Mean	3.85
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.11

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2818
Mean	3.76
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.21

### 4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2803
Mean	4.12
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2815
Mean	3.90
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.12

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course


Statistics	Value
Response Count	2812
Mean	3.76
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.12

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students 8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

and their ideas

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2817
Mean	4.24
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.96

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	2812
Mean	3.97
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.11

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

### 1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2795
Mean	3.92
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.05

### 2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2788
Mean	3.79
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.11

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2784
Mean	3.97
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.08

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning


Statistics	Value
Response Count	2762
Mean	3.79
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.11

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2788
Mean	3.86
Median	4.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2784
Mean	4.03

Standard Deviation	+/-1.06

Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

Very Poor (4%)
Poor (7%)
Adequate (17%)
Good (38%)
Excellent (33%)
[Total (2788)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2788
Mean	3.90
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.07

III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

Interest (717)
Program requirement (1941)
Reputation of Instructor (68)
Reputation of course (32)
Timetable fit (42)
[Total (2800)]

| 0 | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 |

## The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

Missed fewer than 3 (1599)
Missed 3-10 (773)
Missed 11-20 (156)
Missed more than 20 (53)
[Total (2581)]

| 0 | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 |

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

Extremely heavy (273)
Somewhat heavy (825)
Average (1315)
Somewhat light (316)
Extremely light (66)
[Total (2795)]

| 0 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1200 | 1400 |

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

Less than 1 (166)
1 to 2 (599)
3 to 5 (1129)
6 to 8 (598)
9 to 10 (178)
More than 10 (126)
[Total (2796)]

| 0 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1200 |

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

Decreased (443)
Stayed the same (1094)
Increased (1260)
[Total (2797)]

| 0 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1200 | 1400 |

IV Additional Statments:

### The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)

Statistics	Value
Response Count	520
Mean	3.86
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.12

If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	285
Mean	3.39
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.86

If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	282
Mean	3.34
Median	3.00

Standard Deviation	+/-0.96

If the course required team-work, how effective was the team learning experience compared to individual study

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	386
Mean	3.63
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

If the course had a lab, the lab contributed to the overall understanding of the course material

Statistics	Value
Response Count	310
Mean	3.79
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.06
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.06

## The instructor displays a good understanding of the material being presented


Statistics	Value
Response Count	2220

Mean	4.39
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.89

The instructor uses the blackboard/overhead and/or visual aids effectively

Very Poor (4%)
Poor (6%)
Adequate (16%)
Good (34%)
Excellent (40%)
[Total (2217)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2217
Mean	4.01
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.07

(Courses with labs) The laboratories contributed to my understanding of the course material

Very Poor (7%)
Poor (10%)
Adequate (25%)
Good (32%)
Excellent (27%)
[Total (1793)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	1793
Mean	3.60
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.19

(Courses with tutorials) The tutorials contributed to my understanding of the course material

Very Poor (7%)
Poor (8%)
Adequate (27%)
Good (29%)
Excellent (30%)
[Total (1216)]

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	1216
Mean	3.68
Median	4.00

Standard Deviation	+/-1.17

(Courses with a major project, i.e. 20% or more of the final grade) The project contributed to my understanding of the course material


Very Poor (4%)
Poor (5%)
Adequate (28%)
Good (32%)
Excellent (32%)
[Total (1245)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	1245
Mean	3.83
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.05

The way the assignments were weighted (as a proportion of the final grad) was fair and logical.

Very Poor (3%)
Poor (6%)
Adequate (18%)
Good (52%)
Excellent (21%)
[Total (440)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	440
Mean	3.82
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.93

The workload was manageable and spread evenly throughout the length of the course.

Very Poor (2%)
Poor (7%)
Adequate (27%)
Good (47%)
Excellent (17%)
[Total (460)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	460
Mean	3.69
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.91

The instructor spoke in a clear and concise manner.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	465
Mean	4.11
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.88

The course provided a balanced and thorough examination of the subject.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	459
Mean	3.91
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.87

Please answer only if you are evaluating a seminar: The instructor adequately guided the discussion so that objectives were met within each class.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	182
Mean	4.02
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	1220	47%

No	1226	47%
Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)	160	6%